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#### Abstract

We study three methods, based on continuous symmetries, to find approximate solutions for the multidimensional nonlinear heat equation $\partial u / \partial x_{0}+\Delta u=a u^{n}+\varepsilon f(u)$, where $a$ and $n$ are arbitrary real constants, $f$ is a smooth function, and $0<\varepsilon \ll 1$.


## 1. Introduction

Recently, we studied approximate symmetries for a Landau-Ginzburg equation (Euler et al 1992). Within this approach one can obtain approximate solutions for multidimensional partial differential equations with a small parameter (Shul'ga 1987, Fushchich and Shtelen 1989). Moreover, Baikov et al (1989) introduced a different definition of approximate symmetries in order to obtain exact solutions for such equations. In this paper we attempt to develop the method of approximate solutions in a study of the following multidimensional nonlinear heat equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{0}}+\Delta u=a u^{n}+\varepsilon f(u) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $n$ are real constants, $0<\varepsilon \ll 1, f$ is a smooth function, and $\Delta=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{3} \partial^{2} u / \partial x_{j}^{2}$. A classification of $f$ in (1) for an approximate scaling symmetry and an approximate Lie-Bäcklund symmetry is performed. A more general concept of approximation together with its compatibility problem is also studied. Approximate solutions are calculated. Finally we consider the method of Baikov et al (1989) for approximate scaling invariance.

## 2. Approximate scaling invariance

Let us first consider the concept of approximate systems for the construction of approximate solutions. Here an approximate system is obtained by representing the solution $u$ of a (nonlinear) partial differential equation in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u_{0}+\varepsilon u_{1}+\mathrm{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where $u_{j}(j=0,1, \ldots)$ are smooth functions of the independent variables. After substituting and equating to zero the coefficients of zero and different powers of $\varepsilon$, we obtain systems of partial differential equations.

With the representation (2), a first-order approximation of (1) is given by the following system of partial differential equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{0}}+\Delta u_{0}=a u_{0}^{n} \\
& \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{0}}+\Delta u_{1}=a n u_{0}^{n-1} u_{1}+f\left(u_{0}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (1) and system (3) admit the translation and rotation symmetry vector fields

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \quad \text { and } \quad x_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}-x_{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}
$$

where $i=0, \ldots, 3$ and $j \neq k=1, \ldots, 3$.
We consider the following scaling symmetry generator for system (3):

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=2 c_{1} x_{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{0}}+c_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}+c_{2} u_{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{0}}+\eta_{1}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{1}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{1}$ is an arbitrary function of its arguments. For

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=1-\frac{2 c_{1}}{c_{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $c_{2} \neq 0$ ) it follows that $\eta_{1}$ must take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{1}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)=k_{1} u_{0}+k_{2} u_{1}+k_{3} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}, k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3} \in \mathcal{R}$.
Theorem $I$. The function $f$ in (1), such that $Z$ is a first-order approximate symmetry for (1), is given by the following three cases:
(i) For $k_{2} \neq 0$ and $k_{2} \neq c_{2}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u)=\frac{2 a c_{1} k_{1}}{c_{2}\left(c_{2}-k_{2}\right)} u^{1-2 c_{1} / c_{2}}+\left(1-\frac{2 c_{1}}{c_{2}}\right) \frac{a k_{3}}{k_{2}} u^{-2 c_{1} / c_{2}}+c u^{\left(k_{2}-2 c_{1}\right) / c_{2}} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) For $k_{2}=0$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u)=\frac{2 a c_{1} k_{1}}{c_{2}^{2}} u^{1-2 c_{1} / c_{2}}-\left(1-\frac{2 c_{1}}{c_{2}}\right) \frac{a k_{3}}{c_{2}} u^{-2 c_{1} / c_{2}} \ln |u|+c u^{-2 c_{1} / c_{2}} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) For $k_{2}=c_{2}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u)=\frac{a c_{1} k_{1}}{c_{2}\left(c_{2}-2 c_{1}\right)} u^{1-2 c_{1} / c_{2}}-\frac{a k_{3}\left(c_{2}-2 c_{1}\right)}{c_{2}\left(c_{2}-4 c_{1}\right)} u^{-2 c_{1} / c_{2}}+c u^{-\left(1-2 c_{1} / c_{2}\right)} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the above given cases $c \in \mathcal{R}$.

To prove this theorem we make use of the Lie derivative for the invariance condition of system (3) with respect to the Lie symmetry (4) whereby the functions (7), (8) and (9) follow. For more details on Lie symmetry calculations we refer the reader to Ovsiannikov (1982), Olver (1986), Bluman and Kumei (1989), Fushchich et al (1993), and Euler and Steeb (1992).

We now construct a symmetry ansatz from the scaling symmetry for the function in case (i), i.e. we have to solve the Lagrange system

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} x_{0}}{2 c_{1} x_{0}}=\frac{\mathrm{d} x_{1}}{c_{1} x_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{d} x_{2}}{c_{1} x_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{d} x_{3}}{c_{1} x_{3}}=\frac{\mathrm{d} u_{0}}{c_{2} u_{0}}=\frac{\mathrm{d} u_{1}}{k_{1} u_{0}+k_{2} u_{1}+k_{3}}:=\mathrm{d} \tau
$$

where $\tau$ is a group parameter. The following ansatz is obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{0}=x_{0}^{1 /(1-n)} \varphi_{0}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}\right) \\
& u_{1}=\frac{k_{1}}{c_{2}-k_{2}} x_{0}^{1 /(1-n)} \varphi_{0}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}\right)+x_{0}^{k_{2} /\left(2 c_{1}\right)} \varphi_{1}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}\right)-\frac{k_{3}}{k_{2}} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\omega_{1}=\frac{x_{0}}{x_{1}^{2}} \quad \omega_{2}=\frac{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \quad \omega=\frac{x_{2}}{x_{3}}
$$

System (3) reduces to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
4 \omega_{1}^{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{0}}{\partial \omega_{1}^{2}}+\omega_{1} \omega_{2}^{2}\left(1+\omega_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{0}}{\partial \omega_{2}^{2}}+\omega_{1} \omega_{2}^{2} \omega_{3}^{2}\left(1+\omega_{3}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{0}}{\partial \omega_{3}^{2}}-4 \omega_{1}^{2} \omega_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{0}}{\partial \omega_{1} \partial \omega_{2}}-2 \omega_{1} \omega_{2}^{3} \omega_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{0}}{\partial \omega_{2} \partial \omega_{3}} \\
+\omega_{1}\left(1+6 \omega_{1}\right) \frac{\partial \varphi_{0}}{\partial \omega_{1}}+2 \omega_{1} \omega_{2}^{3} \frac{\partial \varphi_{0}}{\partial \omega_{2}}+2 \omega_{1} \omega_{2}^{2} \omega_{3}^{3} \frac{\partial \varphi_{0}}{\partial \omega_{3}}+\frac{c_{2}}{2 c_{1}} \varphi_{0}-a \varphi_{0}^{\mathrm{t}-2 c_{1} / c_{2}}=0 \tag{11}
\end{array}
$$

$4 \omega_{1}^{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{1}}{\partial \omega_{1}^{2}}+\omega_{1} \omega_{2}^{2}\left(1+\omega_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{1}}{\partial \omega_{2}^{2}}+\omega_{1} \omega_{2}^{2} \omega_{3}^{2}\left(1+\omega_{3}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{1}}{\partial \omega_{3}^{2}}-4 \omega_{1}^{2} \omega_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{1}}{\partial \omega_{1} \partial \omega_{2}}-2 \omega_{1} \omega_{2}^{3} \omega_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{1}}{\partial \omega_{2} \partial \omega_{3}}$
$+\omega_{1}\left(1+6 \omega_{1}\right) \frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial \omega_{1}}+2 \omega_{1} \omega_{2}^{3} \frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial \omega_{2}}+\frac{k_{2}}{2 c_{1}} \varphi_{1}-a n \varphi_{0}^{-2 c_{1} / c_{2}} \varphi_{1}-c \varphi_{0}^{\left(k_{2}-2 c_{1}\right) / c_{2}}=0$.

We first have to solve $\varphi_{0}$ from the nonlinear equation (11) and then the linear equation (12) for $\varphi_{1}$. If we consider $\varphi_{0}$ as a function of $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$, or $\omega_{3}$ we obtain equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \varphi_{0}}{\mathrm{~d} \omega_{j}}+h_{1}\left(\omega_{j}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} \varphi_{0}}{\mathrm{~d} \omega_{j}}+h_{2}\left(\omega_{j}\right) \varphi_{0}+h_{3}\left(\omega_{j}\right) \varphi_{0}^{n}=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation was studied by Euler et al (1989) and Duarte et al (1991). General conditions on the functions $h_{1}, h_{2}$ and $h_{3}$ were constructed so that (13) could be transformed, by an invertible point transformation, to the integrable equation $\mathrm{d}^{2} X / \mathrm{d} T^{2}+X^{n}=0$. The Painleve test and the existence of a Lie point symmetry was also studied. By using the results from these articles we found that the ordinary differential equations which follow from (11) cannot be transformed to an integrable second-order equation: they have no Lie point symmetries, and they do not pass the Painleve test for any $n \geqslant 2$. For more information on
the Painleve test and invertible-point transformations we refer the reader to Steeb and Euler (1988) and Steeb (1993). Note that there is a close correspondence between the existence of Lie symmetries and the Painlevé property (Euler et al 1993).

In order to find exact solutions for $\varphi_{0}$ from (11) we consider the ansatz

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{0}\left(\omega_{j}\right)=\omega_{j}^{\beta} \psi\left(z\left(\omega_{j}\right)\right) \quad \frac{\mathrm{d} z\left(\omega_{j}\right)}{\mathrm{d} \omega_{j}}=\omega_{j}^{\alpha} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are real constants that must be determined. The following first-order approximate solutions for $u$ are obtained:
(i) Let $\varphi_{0}=\varphi_{0}\left(\omega_{1}\right)$ and $\varphi_{1}=\varphi_{1}\left(\omega_{1}\right)$. It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{0}\left(\omega_{1}\right)=a^{1 /(1-n)} \frac{2(n+1)}{(1-n)^{2}} \omega_{1}^{1 /(n-1)} \\
& \varphi_{1}\left(\omega_{1}\right)=\frac{c}{10} \omega^{-1} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2 \omega_{1}}\right) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k_{2}=2 c_{1}, n \neq-1$, and

$$
\frac{2(n+1)}{(1-n)^{2}}=\left(\frac{12}{n}\right)^{1 /(n-1)}
$$

A first-order approximate solution $u=u_{0}+\varepsilon u_{1}$, for the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{0}}+\Delta u=a u^{n}+\varepsilon\left(\frac{a k_{1} k_{2}}{c_{2}\left(c_{2}-k_{2}\right)} u^{n}+\frac{a k_{3} n}{k_{2}} u^{n-1}+c\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

follows from (10) and (15).
(ii) Let $\varphi_{0}=\varphi_{0}\left(\omega_{2}\right)$ and $\varphi_{1}=\varphi_{1}\left(\omega_{2}\right)$. It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{0}\left(\omega_{2}\right)=\frac{3}{a} \omega_{2}^{2}  \tag{17}\\
& \varphi_{1}\left(\omega_{2}\right)=\tilde{c}\left(-\frac{1}{3} \omega_{2}^{-1}+\omega_{2}+\omega_{2}^{2} \tan ^{-1} \omega_{2}\right)+\frac{27 c}{14 a^{3}}\left(\omega_{2}^{4}-\omega_{2}^{2} \ln \left(1+\omega_{2}^{2}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $n=2, \omega_{1}=1, k_{2}=-4 c_{1}$, and $\tilde{c} \in \mathcal{R}$. A first-order approximate solution, $u=u_{0}+\varepsilon u_{1}$, for the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{0}}+\Delta u=a u^{2}+\varepsilon\left(-\frac{a k_{1}}{c_{2}-k_{2}} u^{2}+\frac{2 a k_{3}}{k_{2}} u+c u^{3}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

follows from (10) and (17).

## 3. Approximate in terms of $\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathbf{0}}$

We now consider the problem of finding a first-order approximate solution with the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u_{0}+\varepsilon g\left(u_{0}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is an arbitrary smooth function. System (3) now takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{0}}+\Delta u_{0}=a u_{0}^{n}  \tag{20}\\
& \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{i}}\right)^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} g}{\mathrm{~d} u_{0}^{2}}+a u_{0}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{~d} g}{\mathrm{~d} u_{0}}=a n u_{0}^{n-1} g\left(u_{0}\right)+f\left(u_{0}\right) . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

From the splitting condition of (21) we introduce a function $A\left(u_{0}\right)$ together with the compatibility problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{i}}\right)^{2}=A\left(u_{0}\right) \quad \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{0}}+\Delta u_{0}=a u_{0}^{n} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to find compatible solutions for system (22) we investigate the symmetry of system (22).

Theorem 2. The infinitesimal functions $\xi_{j}$ and $\eta$, in the Lie symmetry generator

$$
Z=\sum_{j=0}^{3} \xi_{j}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{3}, u\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}+\eta\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{3}, u\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u}
$$

are given by the following four cases:
(i) For $n$ arbitrary $(n \neq 1)$ and $A\left(u_{0}\right)=u_{0}^{n+1}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\xi_{0}=2 c_{00} x_{0}+d_{0} & \xi_{1}=c_{00} x_{1}+c_{12} x_{2}+c_{13} x_{3}+d_{1} \\
\xi_{2}=c_{00} x_{2}-c_{12} x_{1}+c_{23} x_{3}+d_{2} & \xi_{3}=c_{00} x_{3}-c_{13} x_{1}-c_{23} x_{2}+d_{3} \\
\eta=\frac{2 c_{00}}{1-n} u_{0} . &
\end{array}
$$

(ii) For an arbitrary real constant $n$ and arbitrary function $A\left(u_{0}\right)$ it follows that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\xi_{0}=d_{0} & \xi_{1}=c_{12} x_{2}+c_{13} x_{3}+d_{1} \\
\xi_{2}=-c_{12} x_{1}+c_{23} x_{3}+d_{2} & \xi_{3}=-c_{13} x_{1}-c_{23} x_{2}+d_{3} \\
\eta=0 . &
\end{array}
$$

(iii) For $n=1, A\left(u_{0}\right)=0$ and $a \neq 0$ it follows that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\xi_{0}=2 c_{00} x_{0}+d_{0} & \xi_{1}=c_{00} x_{1}+c_{12} x_{2}+c_{13} x_{3}+d_{1} \\
\xi_{2}=c_{00} x_{2}-c_{12} x_{1}+c_{23} x_{3}+d_{2} & \xi_{3}=c_{00} x_{3}-c_{13} x_{1}-c_{23} x_{2}+d_{3} \\
\eta=\left(2 a c_{00} x_{0}+b\right) u_{0} . &
\end{array}
$$

(iv) For $n=1$ and $A\left(u_{0}\right)=u_{0}^{2}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\xi_{0}=d_{0} & \xi_{1}=c_{12} x_{2}+c_{13} x_{3}+d_{1} \\
\xi_{2}=-c_{12} x_{1}+c_{23} x_{3}+d_{2} & \xi_{3}=-c_{13} x_{1}-c_{23} x_{2}+d_{3} \\
\eta=b u_{0} &
\end{array}
$$

Here $b, c_{i j}, d_{j} \in \mathcal{R}(i, j=0, \ldots, 3)$. The proof follows from the invariance conditions.

As an example of constructing compatible solutions for system (22) we consider the linear combination of the rotation in $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ with the translation in $x_{3}$, i.e.

$$
x_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}-x_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}+\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}
$$

where $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}$. This symmetry is valid for arbitrary $n$ and arbitrary function $A\left(u_{0}\right)$. By solving the associated Lagrange system we obtain the symmetry ansatz

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{0}=\varphi\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}\right) \\
& \omega_{1}=x_{0} \quad \omega_{2}=x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2} \quad \omega_{3}=x_{3}+\alpha \sin ^{-1} \frac{x_{2}}{\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

By using (23), system (22) reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \omega_{1}}+4 \omega_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial \omega_{2}^{2}}+4 \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \omega_{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial \omega_{3}^{2}}\left(\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\omega_{2}}+1\right)-a \varphi^{n}=0 \\
& 4 \omega_{2}\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \omega_{2}}\right)^{2}+\alpha\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \omega_{3}}\right)^{2}-\omega_{2} A(\varphi)=0 \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us consider $\varphi=\varphi\left(\omega_{2}\right)$. System (24) reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4 \omega_{2} \frac{d^{2} \varphi}{d \omega^{2}}+4 \frac{d \varphi}{d \omega_{2}}-a \varphi^{n}=0  \tag{25}\\
& 4\left(\frac{d \varphi}{d \omega_{2}}\right)^{2}-A(\varphi)=0 \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

With ansatz (14), where $\alpha=-1$ and $\beta=1 /(1-n)$, equation (25) takes the following form:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \psi}{\mathrm{~d} z^{2}}-\frac{2}{n-1} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \psi}{\mathrm{~d} z}+\frac{1}{(n-1)^{2}} \psi+\frac{a}{4} \psi^{n}=0
$$

This equation has no Lie symmetries, no point transformation to an integrable equation exists, nor does it pass the Painleve test for any $n \geqslant 2$. A constant solution for arbitrary $n$ is given by

$$
\psi=\left(\frac{4}{a(n-1)^{2}}\right)^{1 /(n-1)}
$$

From (14) together with (26) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\left(\frac{4}{a(n-1)^{2} \omega_{2}}\right)^{1 /(n-1)} \quad A(\varphi)=\frac{a^{2}}{4}(n-1)^{2} \varphi^{2 n} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

A solution of (22) then follows from (23) and (27). Using (27), equation (21) reduces to the linear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a}{4}(n-1)^{2} u_{0}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} g}{\mathrm{~d} u_{0}^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{d} g}{\mathrm{~d} u_{0}}-n u_{0}^{-1} g=\frac{1}{a u_{0}^{n}} f\left(u_{0}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

First approximate solutions of (1) can now be constructed by solving (28) for a given function $f$. For example

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\left(\frac{4}{a(n-1)^{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)}\right)^{1 /(n-1)}+\varepsilon \cos \left(\frac{4}{a(n-1)^{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)}\right)^{1 /(n-1)} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a first-order approximate solution for the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{0}}+\Delta u=a u^{n}+\varepsilon\left(-\frac{a^{2}}{4}(n-1)^{2} u^{2 n} \cos u-n u^{-1} \cos u-\sin u\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another example of a compatible solution for system (22) is obtained by considering the space translation symmetries which provides the ansatz

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=\varphi(\omega) \quad \omega=\alpha \cdot \boldsymbol{x}:=\alpha_{1} x_{1}+\alpha_{2} x_{2}+\alpha_{3} x_{3} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\alpha_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 3)$ arbitrary constants and $\alpha^{2} \neq 0$. System (22) now takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} \varphi}{\mathrm{~d} \omega}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}} A(\varphi) \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \varphi}{\mathrm{~d} \omega^{2}}=\frac{a}{\alpha^{2}} \varphi^{n} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider $n=0$ in (1) for the nonlinear functions given by theorem 1 . For the function (7) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\varphi)=2 a \varphi \quad u_{0}=\frac{a}{2 \alpha^{2}}(\alpha \cdot x)^{2} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a solution of system (32), so that

$$
g\left(u_{0}\right)=\frac{k_{1}}{c_{2}-k_{2}} u_{0}+\frac{c c_{2}^{2}}{a k_{2}\left(2 k_{2}-c_{2}\right)} u_{0}^{k_{2} / c_{2}}+2 \tilde{c}_{1} u_{0}^{1 / 2}+\tilde{c}_{2}
$$

Here $\tilde{c}_{1}$ and $\tilde{c}_{2}$ are arbitrary real constants. Thus a first-order approximate solution for the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{0}}+\Delta u=a+\varepsilon\left(\frac{a k_{1}}{c_{2}-k_{2}}+c u^{-\left(1-k_{2} / c_{2}\right)}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given by
$u\left(x_{0}, \dot{x}_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=u_{0}+\varepsilon\left(\frac{k_{1}}{c_{2}-k_{2}} u_{0}+\frac{c c_{2}^{2}}{a k_{2}\left(2 k_{2}-c_{2}\right)} u_{0}^{k_{2} / c_{2}}+2 \tilde{c}_{1} u_{0}^{1 / 2}+\tilde{c}_{2}\right)$
where $u_{0}$ is given by (33). In the same way as above, whereby we consider (21) together with (22) and the function (8), we obtain a first-order approximate solution for the equation

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{0}}+\Delta u=a+\varepsilon\left(\frac{a k_{1}}{c_{2}}+c u^{-1}\right)
$$

as

$$
u\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=u_{0}+\varepsilon\left(\frac{k_{1}}{c_{2}} u_{0}+2 \tilde{c}_{3} u_{0}^{1 / 2}-\frac{c}{a} \ln u_{0}+\tilde{c}_{4}\right)
$$

Here $\tilde{c}_{3}, \tilde{c}_{4}$ are arbitrary constants and $u_{0}$ is given by (33). Note that $n=0$ is not valid for the function (9).

## 4. An approximate Lie-Bäcklund generator

By considering Lie-Bäcklund generators for system (3), i.e. first-order approximate LieBäcklund generators for (1), we can construct approximate solutions for (1). Lie-Bäcklund generators for system (3) exist only in the one-space dimensional case with $a=0$ and $f\left(u_{0}\right)=u_{0}^{2}$. Such a Lie-Bäcklund generator is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\mathrm{B}}=\frac{\partial^{3} u_{0}}{\partial x_{1}^{3}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{0}}+\left(\frac{\partial^{3} u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}^{3}}-3 u_{0} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{1}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{1}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that a hierarchy of Lie-Bäcklund generators can be constructed from the recursion operator (Euler and Steeb 1992). From the linear combination of the Lie-Bäcklund generator and time translation, a first-order approximate solution $u=u_{0}+\epsilon u_{1}$ for (1) follows, where $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{0}=\frac{\tilde{c}_{4}}{\tilde{c}_{1}^{2}} \exp \left(-\tilde{c}_{1}\left(\tilde{c}_{1} x_{0}+x_{1}\right)\right)+\tilde{c}_{2} x_{1}+\tilde{c}_{3} \\
& \begin{aligned}
u_{1}= & \exp \left(-\tilde{c}_{1}\left(\tilde{c}_{1} x_{0}+x_{1}\right)\right)\left(\frac{\tilde{c}_{4}^{2}}{2 \tilde{c}_{1}^{6}} \exp \left(-\tilde{c}_{1}\left(\tilde{c}_{1} x_{0}+x_{1}\right)\right)-\frac{\tilde{c}_{2} \tilde{c}_{4}}{2 \tilde{c}_{1}^{3}} x_{1}^{2}+\left(\frac{\tilde{c}_{2} \tilde{c}_{4}}{\tilde{c}_{1}^{4}}-\frac{\tilde{c}_{3} \tilde{c}_{4}}{\tilde{c}_{1}^{3}}\right) x_{1}\right) \\
& +\frac{\tilde{c}_{2}^{2}}{12} x_{1}^{4}+\frac{1}{6}\left(2 \tilde{c}_{2} \tilde{c}_{3}+\frac{\tilde{c}_{2}^{2}}{\tilde{c}_{1}}\right) x_{1}^{3}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{c}_{3}^{2}+\frac{\tilde{c}_{2} \tilde{c}_{3}}{\tilde{c}_{1}}-\frac{\tilde{c}_{2}^{2}}{\tilde{c}_{1}^{2}}\right) x_{1}^{2} \\
& +\left(-\frac{\tilde{c}_{2}^{2} x_{0}}{\tilde{c}_{1}}+\tilde{c}_{5}\right) x_{1}+\left(-\frac{\tilde{c}_{2} \tilde{c}_{3}}{\tilde{c}_{1}}+\frac{\tilde{c}_{2}^{2}}{\tilde{c}_{1}^{2}}\right) x_{0}+\tilde{c}_{6}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\tilde{c}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{c}_{6} \in \mathcal{R}$.

## 5. On the method of Baikov et al (1989)

Finally, we demonstrate that the approximate symmetry approach of Baikov et al (1989) is not effective for constructing solutions for equation (1). In this approach a first approximate symmetry is represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\sum_{j=0}^{3}\left(\xi_{j}+\varepsilon \tilde{\xi}_{j}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}+(\eta+\varepsilon \tilde{\eta}) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The invariance of a partial differential equation with parameter $\varepsilon$ is then considered whereupon the determining equations for the infinitesimal functions $\xi_{j}, \tilde{\xi}_{j}, \eta, \tilde{\eta}$ are obtained by equating to zero the coefficients of zero and the first power of $\varepsilon$. For equation (1), with the function $f$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u)=a(1-n) \frac{\tilde{b}_{1}(1-n)-2 \tilde{c}_{00}}{2 c_{00}} u^{n} \ln u+a n \tilde{b}_{2} \frac{1-n}{2 c_{00}} u^{n-1}+c u^{n} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $c_{00} \neq 0, n \neq 0, n \neq 1$ ), the infinitesimal functions in (37) are as follows

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\xi_{0}=2 c_{00} x_{0}+d_{0} & \tilde{\xi}_{0}=2 \tilde{c}_{00} x_{0}+\tilde{d}_{0} \\
\xi_{1}=c_{00} x_{1}+c_{12} x_{2}+c_{13} x_{3}+d_{1} & \tilde{\xi}_{1}=\tilde{c}_{00} x_{1}+\tilde{c}_{12} x_{2}+\tilde{c}_{13} x_{3}+\tilde{d}_{1} \\
\xi_{2}=-c_{12} x_{1}+c_{00} x_{2}+c_{23} x_{3}+d_{2} & \tilde{\xi}_{2}=-\tilde{c}_{12} x_{1}+\tilde{c}_{00} x_{2}+\tilde{c}_{23} x_{3}+\tilde{d}_{2} \\
\xi_{3}=-c_{13} x_{1}-c_{23} x_{2}+c_{00} x_{3}+d_{3} & \tilde{\xi}_{3}=-\tilde{c}_{13} x_{1}-\tilde{c}_{23} x_{2}+\tilde{c}_{00} x_{3}+\tilde{d}_{3} \\
\eta=\frac{2 c_{00}}{1-n} u & \tilde{\eta}=\tilde{b}_{1} u+\tilde{b}_{2}
\end{array}
$$

where $c_{i j}, d_{j}, \tilde{c}_{i j}, \tilde{d}_{j}$, and $\tilde{b}_{k}(i, j=0, \ldots 3, k=1,2)$ are arbitrary real constants. Let us consider the scaling symmetries

$$
D=2 x_{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{0}}+x_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+x_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}+x_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}+\frac{2}{1-n} u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}
$$

i.e. $c_{00}=1, c_{i j}=0, b_{k}=0(i \neq j=1, \ldots, 3, k=1,2)$, and

$$
\tilde{D}=\varepsilon u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}
$$

i.e. $c_{i j}=0, b_{1}=1, b_{2}=0(i, j=0, \ldots, 3)$. By solving the Lagrange system for the combination $D+\tilde{D}$ we obtain the symmetry ansatz

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=x_{0}^{[2+\varepsilon(1-n)] /[2(1-n)]} \varphi\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}\right) \\
& \omega_{1}=\frac{x_{0}}{x_{1}^{2}} \quad \omega_{2}=\frac{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \quad \omega_{3}=\frac{x_{2}}{x_{3}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation (1), together with function (38), then reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4 \omega_{1}^{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial \omega_{1}^{2}}+\omega_{1} \omega_{2}^{2}\left(1+\omega_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial \omega_{2}^{2}}+\omega_{1} \omega_{2}^{2} \omega_{3}^{2}\left(1+\omega_{3}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial \omega_{3}^{2}}-4 \omega_{1}^{2} \omega_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial \omega_{1} \partial \omega_{2}}-2 \omega_{1} \omega_{2}^{3} \omega_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial \omega_{2} \partial \omega_{3}}\right. \\
&+\omega_{1}\left(1+6 \omega_{1}\right) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \omega_{1}}+2 \omega_{1} \omega_{2}^{3} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \omega_{2}}+2 \omega_{1} \omega_{2}^{2} \omega_{3}^{3} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \omega_{3}}+\frac{2+\varepsilon(1-n)}{2(1-n)} \varphi_{0} \\
&-a x_{0}^{\varepsilon(n-1) / 2} \varphi^{n}-\varepsilon x_{0}^{\varepsilon(n-1) / 2}\left[\frac{a(1-n)^{2}}{2} \varphi^{n} \ln \left(x_{0}^{[2+\varepsilon(1-n)] /[2(1-n)]} \varphi\right)+c \varphi^{n}\right]=0 \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

From (39) it is clear that solutions of $\varphi$ in terms of $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ and $\omega_{3}$ can only be constructed if $\varepsilon=0$.
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